UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/678,145	10/06/2003	Walter C. Babcock	PC26122A	1094	
	28523 7590 12/12/2007 PFIZER INC.			EXAMINER	
PATENT DEP	ARTMENT, MS8260-	1611	WEBMAN, EDWARD J		
	EASTERN POINT ROAD GROTON, CT 06340		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	<b>V U U U</b>		1616		
				<del></del>	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/678,145	BABCOCK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Edward J. Webman	1616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	·	<u> </u>				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Oc	ctober 2007.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.					
<u>'—</u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	Γ. <sup>·</sup>					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	·				
2) [ ] Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948)  β) [ ] Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number:

10/678,145 Art Unit: 1616

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 00/38722 (WO '722) in view of Gurtler et al and Mulligan et al.

WO '722 teaches combinations of an HMG Co A reductase inhibitor and CETP inhibitor (abstract). The elected CETP inhibitor is specified ("C-12" on page 18). The elected torvastatin is disclosed (Table 2 page 21). Tablets are specified (page 26 line 30).

Gurtler et al teach an insert polymeric material matrix for prolonged and control release iin which a medicinal substance is incorporated (abstract). Adsorption onto a support is disclosed (column 3 lines 25-32). Cellulose acetate trimellitate is specified (column 3 line 46).

Mulligan et al teach a controlled release formation comprising an active and an inactive substance adsorbed onto a cross-linked polymer (abstract). The inactivee subsrance may be water soluble to enhance the rate of active leached (column 2 lines 48-52). Polyvinylpyrrolidone is specified (column 3 lines 31-32). (On page 123 line 25 applicants disclose polyvinylpyrrolidone as a preferred dissolution-enhancing agent.)

It would have been obvious to adsorb the CETP inhibitor of WO '22 onto a polymer such as cellulose acetate trimellitate for the beneficial effect of achieving controlled release in view of Gurtler et al. It would have been further obvious to absorb a

10/678,145 Art Unit: 1616

surfactant onto the surface of an amorphous form of the inhibitor to achieve the beneficial effect of increased dissolution. As to the claimed properties, they must be dissolution enhancing agent, it would have been further obvious to add polyvinylpyrrolidone as an adsorbate to achieve the beneficial effect of modulating controlled release by increasing the rate of release in view of Mulligan et al. As to the claimed substrate surface area and active dissolution rate, the obvious composition teaches an adsorbed active for controlled release. Optimum suitable parameters for such may be obtained by routine experimentation.

No claims allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Webman whose telephone number is 571-272-0633. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. Richter, can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number:

10/678,145 Art Unit: 1616 Page 4

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

